DS 102 Discussion 3 Wednesday, September 16, 2020

1. False Discovery Rate vs. Family-Wise Error Rate.

Suppose that we are testing some number of hypotheses. We are making decisions according to some unknown decision rule, where a discovery is indicated by a decision of 1 and no discovery is indicated by a decision of 0.

(a) Prove that 1{at least one false discovery} \geq FDP, where FDP denotes the false discovery proportion.

(b) Prove that the family-wise error rate (FWER), *i.e.*, the probability of making at least one false discovery, is at least as big as the false discovery rate (FDR):

$\mathrm{FWER} \geq \mathrm{FDR}.$

(c) Suppose we want to test possibly infinitely many hypotheses in an online fashion. At time t = 1, 2, ..., a *p*-value P_t arrives, and we proclaim a discovery if $P_t \leq \alpha_t$, where $\alpha_t = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t \alpha$. Does this rule control the FWER under α ? Give a proof or counterexample.

(d) Does the rule from part (c) control the FDR under α ?

2. Decision Theory: Computing and Minimizing the Bayes Risk

For the following two parts, derive the decision procedure δ^* that minimizes the Bayes risk, for the given loss function. That is, provide an expression for

$$\delta^* = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\delta} R(\delta)$$

where the Bayes risk $R(\delta)$ can be written out as

$$R(\delta) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta, X}[\ell(\theta, \delta(X))] = \mathbb{E}_X[\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\ell(\theta, \delta(X)) \mid X]].$$

Hint. One strategy to find the decision rule that minimizes the Bayes risk is based on the following rationale. For any given value of the data, X = x, the quantity $\delta(x)$ is simply a scalar value. Suppose, for any given value of X = x, we can find the scalar value $\delta^*(x) = a^* \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$a^* = \operatorname*{argmin}_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\ell(\theta, a) \mid X = x]$$

(that is, a^* is the scalar value that minimizes the Bayes posterior risk for this particular value of X = x). Then, the rule given by this computation of $\delta^*(x)$ (for each value of X = x) must also be the one that minimizes the Bayes risk, which just takes an expectation over all possible values of X. This is sometimes referred as a *pointwise minimization* strategy.

(a) $\ell(\theta, \delta(X)) = (1/2)(\theta - \delta(X))^2$ (squared-error loss)

(b) $\ell(\theta, \delta(X)) = \mathbf{1}[\theta \neq \delta(X)]$ (zero-one loss)